

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

CB

SK

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/016,739 01/30/98 GODWIN

D 1002-0537

EXAMINER

PM82/0615

UNDERWOOD, D

BRADFORD G. ADDISON
MAGINOT, ADDISON & MOORE
BANK ONE CENTER TOWER
111 MONUMENT CIRCLE SUITE 3000
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204-5130

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3652

DATE MAILED:

06/15/01

30

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/016 739

Applicant(s)

Godwin et al

Examiner

Underwood

Group Art Unit

3652

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE three MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Status

- ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04/05/01
- ☐ This action is **FINAL**.
- ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-11, 13-18, 20-25 is/are pending in the application.
- Of the above claim(s) NONE is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-11, 13-18, 20 is/are allowed.
- ☒ Claim(s) 21-25 is/are rejected.
- ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.
- ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

- ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
- ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.
- ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

- ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.
- ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____
- ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1.7.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 28
- ☒ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
- ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
- ☐ Other _____

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 3652

Detailed Action

1. Receipt of applicants' request for a CPA filed 03/21/01 is acknowledged. Since a CPA was requested on 11/30/00 and subsequently established no further CPA's can be established in accordance with the guidelines in 37 CFR 1.53(d). Accordingly the request filed 03/21/01 has been treated as a request for a Request for Continued Examination. This request has been granted.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 21, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burton in view of Wiechman.

Art Unit: 3652

It would have been obvious to substitute a boom and linkage as claimed for the boom and cylinders in Burton in view of the teaching in Wiechman.

5. Claims 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burton in view of newly cited Kovacs.

It would have been obvious to substitute a boom and linkage as claimed for the boom and cylinders in Burton in view of the teaching in Kovacs.

6. Claims 1-3, 7-11, 13-18 and 20 are allowed.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner D.

Underwood at telephone number (703) 308-1113.

Underwood-Carmen

June 13, 2001

Donald W. Underwood 06/14/01
DONALD W. UNDERWOOD
PRIMARY EXAMINER